# Integrated Bachelor's/Master's Program 

## Clinic Evaluations: Juniors

## FALL 2016

## Context

This survey is part of the set of surveys administered at key transitions points in the $I B / M$ program. This survey was administered to the supervisors of the Fall 2016 cohort in order to assess the performance of their interns.

## Survey Content

- Information about the student teaching placement
- Professional characteristics
- General comments/feedback on the student's performance


## Methodology

The survey was administered using Qualtrics, an online survey tool. An email invitation was distributed to each of the supervisors of the students participating in the IB/M program. The data collection period was during November of 2016. A total of 123 complete surveys were submitted by the supervisors. All references to individuals/placement sites have been omitted to maintain anonymity.

The data are used for two types of reports.

- Individual-level report. This report was distributed to the individual student, the supervisor, the cooperating teacher, and the advisor.
- Program-level report. This report, which contains aggregate data, was delivered to the academic program.
- Disaggregated results are not reported across campuses, due to no or too few students enrolled in this focus area at the campus.


## Key Findings

- The most common certification area was Elementary Education (31\%), followed by Special Education (13\%) and Mathematics (13\%).
- The students were rated an average of 2.54 out of 3 points possible on 5 performance standards, with 121 out of 123 ( $98.4 \%$ ) of the interns receiving a total score indicating that they had met or exceeded expectations for their internship.
- Qualitative feedback from supervisors praised the student interns for their professionalism, enthusiasm, and high level of preparation.

For more information, please contact Amanda Turner, Interim Director of Assessment (amanda.turner@uconn.edu). This report is available online http://assessment.education.uconn.edu/

## School District of Internship Placement

| District | Count | District | Count |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| East Hartford | $16(13.01 \%)$ | Regional School District \#19 | $10(8.13 \%)$ |
| Glastonbury | $7(5.69 \%)$ | Tolland | $4(3.25 \%)$ |
| Hartford | $17(13.82 \%)$ | Willington | $12(9.76 \%)$ |
| Manchester | $9(7.32 \%)$ | Windham | $12(9.76 \%)$ |
| Mansfield | $21(17.07 \%)$ | Windsor | $11(8.94 \%)$ |
| Plainfield | $4(3.25 \%)$ | Total | $123(100.00 \%)$ |

## Intern Certification Area

| Area | Count | Area | Count |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Elementary | $37(30.08 \%)$ | Music | $13(10.57 \%)$ |
| English/Language Arts | $14(11.38 \%)$ | Science | $10(8.13 \%)$ |
| History/Social Studies | $12(9.76 \%)$ | Special Education | $16(13.01 \%)$ |
| Mathematics | $16(13.01 \%)$ | World Languages | $5(4.07 \%)$ |

## Grade Level Placement (Check all that apply)

| Grade Level | Count |
| :--- | ---: |
| K-2 | 34 |
| $3-5$ | 34 |
| $6-8$ | 30 |
| $9-12$ | 51 |

## Performance Areas

## For each of the standards, the following proficiency levels will be used:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=\text { Needs work } \\
& 2=\text { Meets expectations } \\
& 3=\text { Exceeds expectations }
\end{aligned}
$$

| Item | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Demonstrates responsibility and professionalism | 1 (0.81\%) | 36 (29.27\%) | 86 (69.92\%) | 2.69 |
| 2. Transitioning from student to professional | 1 (0.81\%) | 63 (51.22\%) | 59 (47.97\%) | 2.47 |
| 3. Exhibits a growing awareness of students' needs and instructional strategies | 1 (0.81\%) | 64 (52.03\%) | 58 (47.15\%) | 2.46 |
| 4. Utilizes observation and reflection activities | 0 (0\%) | 48 (39.02\%) | 75 (60.98\%) | 2.61 |
| 5. Attends clinic placement / school-related events as scheduled | 3 (2.44\%) | 55 (44.72\%) | 65 (52.85\%) | 2.5 |

## Total Score

The target area for a successful clinic placement experience is 2 (meets expectations). Therefore, the overall goal is for the student to receive a score of at least 10 . Students receiving a total score less than 10 will need to meet with their EGEN 3100 Seminar leader in order to design an action plan for the fall semester.

| Total Score | Count |
| :--- | ---: |
| 8 | $1(0.81 \%)$ |
| 9 | $1(0.81 \%)$ |
| 10 | $16(13.01 \%)$ |
| 11 | $9(7.32 \%)$ |
| 12 | $28(22.76 \%)$ |
| 13 | $25(20.33 \%)$ |
| 14 | $15(12.20 \%)$ |
| 15 | $28(22.76 \%)$ |

